

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/706,071	Applicant(s) WILHELMSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert C. Scheibel	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Michael Cameron (Reg. No. 50,298).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 March 2006

Time: 10:55 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21, 43, 45, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56, 58, 60, and 61

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner presented the changes to the claims as documented in the attached Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Cameron agreed to these changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3-30-06.
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)